Similarity of 26 New Released Rice Varieties and Rice Parental Hybrids Based on 36 SSR Markers

Untung Susanto, Satoto, Nofi A Rohmah, and Made Jana Mejaya

Indonesian Center for Rice Research Street 9th Sukamandi, Subang, West Java, Indonesia Email: untungsus2004@yahoo.com

Naskah diterima 1 Agustus 2013 dan disetujui diterbitkan 6 Mei 2014

ABSTRAK. Kemiripan antara 26 Varietas Padi yang Telah Dilepas dan Tetua Hibrida Atas Dasar 36 Marka SSR. Lebih dari 200 varietas padi telah dilepas di Indonesia, namun variabilitas genetiknya diduga relatif rendah. Marka molekuler seperti SSR (Simple Sequence Repeats) merupakan alat yang dapat digunakan untuk membedakan antargenotipe, bahkan antara varietas yang memiliki kemiripan fenotipe tinggi. SSR juga dapat digunakan untuk membuktikan keautentikan suatu varietas. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mendapatkan data sidik jari DNA varietas-varietas terbaru dan tetua hibrida menggunakan marka SSR. Sebanyak 26 genotipe padi yang terdiri atas 3 gogo, 10 sawah irigasi, 5 rawa, serta delapan tetua hibrida digunakan dalam penelitian ini. DNA diekstrak dari daun muda, menggunakan metode CTAB yang dimodifikasi dan diaplikasikan terhadap 26 marka SSR yang dilaporkan terpaut dengan karakter-karakter penting tanaman padi dan tersebar merata di 12 kromosom padi. Penelitian dilakukan di Laboratorium Pemuliaan Tanaman Balai Besar Penelitian Tanaman Padi (BB Padi) dalam tahun 2012. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa nilai PIC (Polymorphic Information Content) dari genotipe yang diuji tergolong medium dengan rata-rata 0,4451. Analisis filogeni memperlihatkan bahwa pada batas jarak genetik 10%, genotipe yang diuji terbagi dalam 9 group, yaitu Inpago 6, Inpara 5, dan BH33d masing-masing berdiri sendiri, sedangkan group yang lain adalah (Inpara 1, Inpara 2 dan Inpara 3); (Inpari 18 dan Inpari 19), (Inpago 4, Inpago 5 dan Inpara 4); (Inpari 11, Inpari 12, Inpari 13, Inpari 14, Inpari 15, Inpari 16, Inpari 17 dan Inpari 20); (GMJ6B, B6 dan IR79156B); (PK21, BH95E, Bio9 dan R14) masing-masing dalam satu group. Hasil tersebut mengindikasikan bahwa genotipe cenderung terkelompokkan mengikuti pembagian agro ekosistem. Tetua hibrida cenderung berada pada group yang berbeda dengan varietas padi inbrida. Aplikasi marka 36 SSR mampu membedakan antar 26 genotipe yang diuji dengan destingsi sedang. Penambahan marka yang digunakan akan mampu memberikan data yang semakin lengkap dalam membedakan antar genotipe yang diuji.

Kata kunci: Sidik jari DNA, Padi, SSR.

ABSTRACT. More than 200 rice varieties had been released in Indonesia, but the genetic variability among those released varieties was suspected to be relatively low. Molecular markers, especially SSR could be used as a tool to disect the distinctness among rice genotypes, albeit phenotipically similar varieties. The technique could also be used to prove the authenticity of a variety. This research was aimed to obtain DNA fingerprinting data of new released rice varieties and hybrid parental lines using SSR markers. A total of 26 rice genotypes consisted of three upland, ten irrigated, five swampy rice varieties, along with eight hybrid parental lines were used in this experiments. The DNA was extracted from young leaf samples using CTAB modified method and was amplified with 36 SSR markers linked to important rice traits which spread accross the 12 rice

chromosomes. The experiment was conducted in Plant Breeding Laboratory of Indonesian Center for Rice Research (ICRR) during 2012. The results showed that PIC value of the genotypes were mostly at medium level of the genetic diversity with the average value of 0.4451. The phylogenetic analysis showed that at the genetic distance of 10%, the genotypes were separated into 9 groups, i.e. Inpago 6, Inpara 5, and BH33d each stood alone while (Inpara 1, Inpara 2, and Inpara 3); (Inpari 18 and Inpari 19, Inpago 4, Inpago 5, and Inpara 4); (Inpari 11, Inpari 12, Inpari 13, Inpari 14, Inpari 15, Inpari 16, Inpari 17, and Inpari 20); (GMJ6B, B6, and IR79156B); (PK21, BH95E, Bio9, and R14) each belong to one group. The grouping of the genotypes in this study seemed to follow the adaptation type to agro ecosystems. The hybrid parental lines tended to stay in different group from the inbred varieties. The application of these 36 SSR markers was able to distinguish among 26 genotypes rather distinctly. The use of more markers should give more powerful data to distinguish among genotypes.

Keywords: DNA Fingerprint, Rice, SSR.

ore than 200 of rice varieties had been released in Indonesia (Suprihatno et al. 2011, Sunihardi Let al. 1999, Musaddad et al. 1993), consisted of varieties for the lowland, upland, and swamp land, and hybrid rice varieties. Each variety has special superiorities, such as resistance to pest and diseases, tolerance to abiotic stresses, specific amilose content, specific quality aspect such as aromatic, and high yield. Nevertheless, genetic variability among these varieties seem to be very low because some varieties have the same common ancestors (Susanto et al. 2003). Narrow genetic variability would give less buffering capacity to the dinamics changing of the environment. Although the natural genetic variation of rice is very big, very few were actually used in the breeding program (Vaughan and Jackson 1995). Consequently, it had narrowed the genetic variability of cultivated rice varieties and there is tendency of reduced resistance of the released varieties to major pests and disieases (Yu et al. 2003). Narrow genetic background would provide less genetic variation for further breeding program and their progenies would have no significant increase in the targeted traits. On the other hand, wide heterotic pools between parents would give more chance to have better hybrids.

Molecular marker technique is able to diferentiate the DNA constitution of individuals eventhough they have much similarity among genotypes. DNA fingerprinting could be used to distinguish the authenticity of a variety, and molecular marker maybe used as standard identification for germ plasm accessions (Bioversity International 2007). In relation with plant variety protection, DNA fingerprinting data could be used to prove the authenticity of a variety. Behera et al. (2012) reported the utilization of 36 microsatellite markers distributed over 12 chromosomes of rice to assess the genetic diversity in 33 medicinal rice genotypes. The data provides basic information on medicinal rice genotypes in India which will be useful for future reference and to protect these unique quality rices under the Intellectual Property Rights (IPR). Chuang et al. (2011) had tested the authentication of foreign and local rice varieties in Taiwan by applying 32 SSR markers into 36 varieties from various Taiwanesse areas and foreigner countries. Choudhury et al. (2001) reported that DNA finger printing could be used to identify and classify aromatic rices in India. Furthermore, DNA finger printing could be used to see genetic diversity as based for deciding on combination among the genotypes (Alvarez et al. 2007).

Among molecular markers, SSR is relatively cost effective, acurate, stable, and capable to distinguish multi allele in one locus due to its codominant characteristics (Panaud *et al.* 1995, Powell *et al.* 1996). High member of Molecular marekrs uge ammount of SSR markers for rice had been available on the whole rice genome (Temnykh *et al.* 2000, McCouch *et al.* 2002, Zhang *et al.* 2007). Therefore, SSR is the most suitablne tool to do the DNA fingerprinting on rice.

DNA fingerprinting is also useful to identify specific genes which had been incorporated into the varieties used in the study. It will precisely identify the genes and will give very useful information for deciding further improvement of the variety in the further breeding activities.

This research was aimed to see the similarity of new released rice varieties and hybrid parental lines using 36 SSR markers linked to important rice traits. The information then could be used in deciding further germ plasm utilization and breeding strategy in Indonesia.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A total of twenty six rice genotypes consisted of three upland, ten irrigated, five swampy varieties along with eight hybrid parental lines were used in this study (Table 1), and were grown during DS 2012. The seeds of release varieties were obtained from UPBS (*Unit Pengelolaan Benih Sumber*; Breeder Seed Production Unit) of Indonesian Center for Rice Research (ICRR), while the seeds of parental hybrids were obtained from Hybrid Rice Breding Group of ICRR.

SSR Markers

Thirty six SSR markers linked to important traits of rice plant and evenly spread across rice genome (Table 2) were selected from the list reported by Susan McCouch (2002).

DNA Extraction and SSR Marker Application

DNA was extracted from 25 days old leaves using modified CTAB method (without liquid N). PCR reaction then be conducted in 10 μ l reaction solution consisted of 50 ng of template DNA then added with PCR ready mixed from KAPPA Bio Science. The profile of PCR reaction was 96°C for 5 minutes continued by 35 cycle of denaturizing in 94°C for one minutes, annealing temperature at 55°C or 61°C (depend on primers characteristics) for one minute, and extension at 72°C for two minutes, then final product extension at 72°C for five minutes. PCR product was kept in 4°C until used.

Table 1. Varieties and hybrid parental lines used for DNA fingerprinting analysis, ICRR, 2012.

No	Genotypes	Suitability
1	INPAGO 4	Upland
2	INPAGO 5	Upland
3	INPAGO 6	Upland
4	INPARI 11	Lowland
5	INPARI 12	Lowland
6	INPARI 13	Lowland
7	INPARI 14 Pakuan	Lowland
8	INPARI 15 Parahyangan	Lowland
9	INPARI 16 Pasundan	Lowland
10	INPARI 17	Lowland
11	INPARI 18	Lowland
12	INPARI 19	Lowland
13	INPARI 20	Lowland
14	INPARA 1	Swampy
15	INPARA2	Swampy
16	INPARA3	Swampy
17	INPARA4	Swampy
18	INPARA5	Swampy
19	B6	Parental Hybrid, lowland
20	GMJ6B	Parental Hybrid, lowland
21	IR79156B	Parental Hybrid, lowland
22	PK21	Parental Hybrid, lowland
23	R14	Parental Hybrid, lowland
24	Bio9	Parental Hybrid, lowland
25	BH95E-Mr-15-6-2-2	Parental Hybrid, lowland
26	BH33D-Mr-57-1-2-2	Parental Hybrid, lowland

Table 2. Markers information, allele number, and PIC value of 26 rice genotypes using 36 SSR markers.

Chromo- Position Motif Linked Trait Forward Reverse some (cM) Trait Forward Reverse 1 12 65.3 Bph9 TGCATTTCCATACATACG ATTTGATA	o- Position Motif Linked Trait Forward Reverse (cM) (cM) 865.3 Bph9 TGCATTTTCCATACAATACG ATTTGATA	Motif Linked Trait Forward Reverse Bph9 TGCATTTTCCATACAATACG ATTTGATA	Linked Trait Forward Reverse Bph9 TGCATTTTCCATACAATACG ATTTGATA	Forward Reverse TGCATTTCCATACATACG ATTTGATA	Reverse	CATGGACGATGC	56 Ta	Size 135	Allele humber* 2	PIC* 0.2533
1 1 <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""></th1<></th1<></th1<></th1<>	71.85 CT 25 mature ACAGTATCCAAGGCCCTGG 71.85 Bph2 TAGAGTCTTAGAGGCCCTGG	CT 25 mature ACAGTATCCAAGGCCCTGG Bph2 TGGGAGTGTTTAGGGCCCCGG	Bph2 ACAGTATCCAAGGCCTGG	ACAGTATCCAAGGCCTGG		CACGTTGCAAAGCGGAG	222	156 168	1401	
9 8 73.8 harvest index AI GGACI I I CGAGAAI GI I G 12 32.3 CT 16 Bph1 TAGTGCCGATCGATGTAACG	73.8 harvest index AIGGACI11CGAGAAIG11G 32.3 CT 16 Bph1 TAGTGCCGATCGATGTAACG	CT 16 DBph1 TAGTGCCGATCGATGTAGC	harvest index AIGGACTITICGAGAAIGTIG Bph1 TAGTGCCGATCGATGTAACG	AIGGACTITCGAGAAIGTIG TAGTGCCGATCGATGTAACG		GAG I ACGAAA I GAAGGCAAG CATATGGTTTTGACAAAGCG	55 55	197 131	იი	0 0
9 11.7 CT 17 Sub1 CGTCGGATGATGTAAGCCT	11.7 CT 17 Sub1 CGTCGGATGATGTAAGCCT	CT 17 Sub1 CGTCGGATGATGTAAGCCT	Sub1 CGTCGGATGATGTAAAGCCT	CGTCGGATGATGTAAAGCCT		CATATCGGCATTCGCCTG	55	202	с	0.4
6 3.2 (GT)24 Bph3 ATCATGGTCGGTGGCTTAAC	3.2 (GT)24 Bph3 ATCATGGTGGGTGGCTTAAC	(GT)24 Bph3 ATCATGGTCGGTGGCTTAAC	Bph3 ATCATGGTCGGTGGCTTAAC	ATCATGGTCGGTGGCTTAAC		CAGGTTCCAACCAGACACTG	55	186	5	0.7
10 70.8 (GA)21 Tiller number TGCTGTATGTAGCTCGCACC (GGA)3	70.8 (GA)21 Tiller number TGCTGTATGTAGCTCGCACC (GGA)3	(GA)21 Tiller number IGCTGTATGTAGCTCGCACC (GGA)3	Tiller number IGCTGTATGTAGCTCGCACC	TGCTGTATGTAGCTCGCACC		TGGCCTTTAAGCTGTCGC	55	148	ო	0.5
8 3 Bph19 TCGACCTGGCTCTCTAG	Bph19 TCGACCTGGCTCTCTAG	Bph19 TCGACCTGGCTCTCCTCAG	Bph19 TCGACCTGGCTCTCCTCAG	TCGACCTGGCTCTCCTCTAG		TATCAACCTGCTCCTCGG	60	176	2	0.0
1 11 45.3 pan length GAGCTAGAGGGAGGAGGTG	45.3 pan length GAGCTAGAGGGAGGAGGTG	pan length GAGCTAGAGGGAGGAGGTG	pan length GAGCTAGAGGGAGGAGGTG	GAGCTAGAGGGGAGGAGGTG	0	TTGACTGATAGCCGATTGGG	55	174	7	o.
4 3 27.9 Hd 8 AACGTAGTCGGTGGTTC	27.9 Hd 8 AACGTACCAGTCGCTGGTTC	Hd 8 AACGTACCAGTCGCTGGTTC	Hd 8 AACGTACCAGTCGCTGGTTC	AACGTACCAGTCGCTGGTTC		CCCGTGATTTCCTCCGAC	60	225	7	ö
2 186.4 (GA)17 qhts2 GAGGGAGAAGGTGGACAT	186.4 (GA)17 ghts2 GAGGGAGAAGGTGGACAT	(GA)17 qhts2 GAGGGAGAAAGGTGGACAT(qhts2 GAGGGAGAAAGGTGGACAT(GAGGGAGAAAGGTGGACAT	(7)	TGTGCTCCTTGGGAAGAAAG	55	146	4	ö
2 3 36.9 Bph18 GGTTGAACCCAAATCTGCA	36.9 Bph18 GGTTGAACCCAAATCTGCA	Bph18 GGTTGAACCCAAATCTGCA	Bph18 GGTTGAACCCAAATCTGCA	GGTTGAACCCAAATCTGCA		CTTTGATAGCGGCTTTGTCC	60	169	-	
9 3 39.8 Hd 8 GGAGAACAGAGTTGCTCGC	39.8 Hd 8 GGAAGAGAGATTGCTCGC	Hd 8 GGAGAACAGAGTTGCTCGC	Hd 8 GGAAGAACAGAGTTGCTCGC	GGAAGAACAGAGTTGCTCGC	'n	GTGCCATTTATTTCCGTCCC	61	168	2	0.2
9 7 49.4 Hd 4 TTGCAGATCGGTTTCCACTG	49.4 Hd 4 TTGCAGATCGGTTTCCACTG	Hd 4 TTGCAGATCGGTTTCCACTG	Hd 4 TTGCAGATCGGTTTCCACTG	TTGCAGATCGGTTTCCACTG		GGTCCTGGAITCATGGTGTC	60	191	9	⊳. 0
1 12 61.6 Harvest Index- GTCCATGCCCAAGACACAA	61.6 Harvest Index- GTCCATGCCCAAGACAAGACAAG	Harvest Index- GTCCATGCCCAAGACACAAG	Harvest Index- GTCCATGCCCAAGACACAAG	GTCCATGCCCAAGACACAA	~	GTTACATCATGGGTGACCCC	60	148	4	0.0
drought 1 8 108.2 GE6 H413 GAGGACCCCGAATCGATC	drought 108 2 GE6 Hd 12 GAGGACCCCGAATCGATC	drought GE6 Hzd 20 GAGGACCCGAATCGATC	drought Hd 13 GAGGACCCCGAATCGATC	GAGGALLCCGAATCGATC		ΔΑΓΔ <u>666</u> 006661TAΔ6TΔ6	e0	187	¢	0
52 1 Salt GAATTICTAGGCCATGAGAG	Salt GATTTCTAGGCCATGAGAG	Salt GATTTCTAGGCCATGAGAG	Salt GAATTTCTAGGCCATGAGAG	GAATTTCTAGGCCATGAGAG	ő	AACGGAGGGGGGGTATATGTTAGCC	8	171	იო	$\circ \circ$
0 8 108.2 Hd 12 TTGCTAGTGCTTACCACCCC	108.2 Hd 12 TTGCTAGTGCTTACCACCCC	Hd 12 TTGCTAGTGCTTACCACCCC	Hd 12 TTGCTAGTGCTTACCACCCC	TTGCTAGTGCTTACCACCCC		TCCCAGTCACCCTGCTACTC	60	172	4	0
5 78.7 heading-drought TCTTGCCCGTCACTGCAGAT	78.7 heading-drought TCTTGCCCGTCACTGCAGAT	heading-drought TCTTGCCCGTCACTGCAGAT	heading-drought TCTTGCCCGTCACTGCAGAT	TCTTGCCCGTCACTGCAGAT	ATCC	GCAGCCCTAATGCTACAATTCTTC		246	7	Ö
4 7 89.8 Gen Rf4 ACACGCCATGGATGAC	89.8 Gen Rf4 ACACGCCATGGATGAC	Gen Rf4 ACACGCCATGGATGAC	Gen Rf4 ACACGCCATGGATGAC	ACACGCCATGGATGATGAC		TGGCATCATCACTTCCTCAC	60	163	4	0.69
8 7 53 Hd 4 CTTATCTCGGCAAGCAG	53 Hd 4 CTTATCTCGGCAAGCAG	Hd 4 CTTATCTCGGCAAGCAG	Hd 4 CTTATCTCGGCAAGCAG	CTTATCTCCGGCAAGCAG	с U	CTCACACGCATGGATCAATC	60	158	с	0.54
1 122.7 (GT)10 Gen Rf3 GATGGTTTTCATCGGCTAC	122.7 (GT)10 Gen Rf3 GATGGTTTTCATCGGCTAC	(GT)10 Gen Rf3 GATGGTTTTCATCGGCTAC	Gen Rf3 GATGGTTTTCATCGGCTAC	GATGGTTTTCATCGGCTAC	Ċ	AGTCCCAGAATGTCGTTTCG	55	124	4	0.61
7 1 58.1 QTL Saltol GTGAAGAAGCATGGTAA	58.1 QTL Saltol GTGAAGAAGCATGGTAA	QTL Saltol GTGAAGAAGCATGGTAA	QTL Saltol GTGAAGAAGCATGGTAA	GTGAAGAAAGCATGGTA ^A	ATG	CTCAGCTTGCTTGTGGTTAG	55	162	с	0.42
4 146.8 (GA)16 Xa12 TTGCCATTCGCGTGGAGGG	146.8 (GA)16 Xa12 TTGCCATTCGCGTGGGGG	(GA)16 Xa12 TTGCCATTCGCGTGGAGG	Xa12 TTGCCATTCGCGTGGAGGG	TTGCCATTCGCGTGGAGGG	g	GTCCATCATCCCTATGGTCG	55	136	ო	0.428
8 6 15.8 Hd 3 CAGGTAATAGTCATACTCC	15.8 CAGGTAATAGTCATACTCC	Hd 3 CAGGTAATAGTCATACTCC	Hd 3 CAGGTAATAGTCATACTCC	CAGGTAATAGTCATACTCC	⊢	GGAAACTAGATTAGCTCATA	55	135	-	0
89 6 Xa7 CATGTATTIGTGTGCACGT	Xa7 CATGTATTTGTGTGCACGT	Xa7 CATGTATTTGTGTGCACGT	Xa7 CATGTATTTGTGTGCACGT	CATGTALLIGTGTGCACGT/	ACCG	ACCTITICTTGGGGCCTTTCTTGG		263	ო	0.51
a 9 Sub1 aacgggcacattctgtcttc	Sub1 aacgggcacattctgtcttc	Sub1 aacgggcacattctgtcttc	Sub1 aacgggcacattctgtcttc	aacgggcacattctgtcttc		tggaagacctgatcgtttcc	55	262	2	0.36
1 165 (AT)4 Gen Rf3 GAGGTACTTCCTCCGTTTC	165 (AT)4 Gen Rf3 GAGGTACTTCCTCCGTTTC	(AT)4 Gen Rf3 GAGGTACTTCCTCCGTTTC	Gen Rf3 GAGGTACTTCCTCCGTTTC	GAGGTACTTCCTCCGTTTC	AC	AGTCAGCTCACTGTGCAGTG	55	133	ო	0.40
2 192.2 (GA)19 seed set TAGTTTAACCAAGACTCTC	192.2 (GA)19 seed set TAGTTTAACCAAGACTCTC	(GA)19 seed set TAGTTTAACCAAGACTCTC	seed set TAGTITAACCAAGACTCTC	TAGTITAACCAAGACTCTC		GGTTGAACCCAAATCTGCA	55	127	ĉ	0.48
9 6 7.4 Hd ACCTGAGGGCCAATT	7.4 Hd 3 AACCTGAGAGTGCCAATT	Hd 3 AACCTGAGAGTGCCAATT	Hd 3 AACCTGAGAGTGCCAATT	AACCTGAGAGTGCCAATT	00	TCCCCTAGTAAAGCGGATTC	58	176	0 00	0.828
7 116.6 CT 25 root development TCCTTGTGAAATCTGGTCC	116.6 CT 25 root development TCCTTGTGAAATCTGGTCC	CT 25 root development TCCTTGTGAAATCTGGTCC	root development TCCTTGTGAAATCTGGTCC	TCCTTGTGAAATCTGGTCC	ç	GTAGCCTAGCATGGTGCATG	55	102	4	0.58
6 20.8 (GA)15 Gel Consistency AACCGGATTAGTTTCTCGC	20.8 (GA)15 Gel Consistency AACCGGATTAGTTTCTCGC	(GA)15 Gel Consistency AACCGGATTAGTTTCTCGC	Gel Consistency AACCGGATTAGTTTCTCGC	AACCGGATTAGTTTCTCGC	0	TGAGGACGACGAGCAGATTC	55	122	2	0.36
6 7.4 (CT)11 waxi gene CTTTGTCTATCTCAAGACA(7.4 (CT)11 waxi gene CTTTGTCTATCTCAAGACA((CT)11 waxi gene CTTTGTCTATCTCAAGACA(waxi gene CTTTGTCTATCTCAGGACA(CTTTGTCTATCTCAAGACA(TTGCAGATGTTCTTCCTGATG	55	124	S	0.49
3 100.6 (GA)15 grain/pan CTGTGCGAAAGGCTGCA(100.6 (GA)15 grain/pan CTGTGCAAAGGCTGCAC	(GA)15 grain/pan CTGTGTCGAAAGGCTGCA(grain/pan CTGTGTCGAAAGGCTGCA(CTGTGTCGAAAGGCTGCAC	0	CAGTCCTGTGTTGCAGCAAG	55	136	7	0.32
4 106.2 CT 31 Plant Height GAGCCAAATAAGATCGCT0	106.2 CT 31 Plant Height GAGCCAAATAAGATCGCT0	CT 31 Plant Height GAGCCAAATAAGATCGCT0	Plant Height GAGCCAAATAAGATCGCT0	GAGCCAAATAAGATCGCT	Ρ	TGCAAGCAGCAGATTTAGTG	55	138	e	0.169
									0.2200 1	5
									- ∞	0.828
									3 1944	0.44
									115	5

Source: Susan McCouch (2002); * = result data of this research

Eight percent of Polyacrilamide gel electrophoresis was conducted in 1 x TBE buffer at 100volt for two to four hours depending on the product size. Ethidium bromide staining was applied for 30 minutes and DNA visualization was then conducted under UV light using Gel documentation System.

Data Analysis

Data score was indicated as 1 (present) and 0 (not present) of each SSR band in each locus. The genetic variability was measured by the value of polymorphism information content (PIC) according to the method by Anderson *et al.* (1993).

$$PICi = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n} Pij^{2}$$

Where P*ij*: the frequency of jth allele at the ith locus; n: the number of allele in particular locus.

Phylogenetic analysis was calculated following Nei (1973) method for the distance and UPGMA (Unweighted Pair Group Mean Arithmatic) for the dendogram development. PIC analysis and Phylogenetic analysis were done using Power marker version 3.25 (Liu and Muse 2005).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Level of Polymorphism

The SSR markers applied to the population have relatively high polymorphism. From 36 SSR markers applied, 34 markers (94.44%) were polymorphic which indicated that new varieties were varied among themself, possibly because some of the new released varieties were introduced from IRRI that had different parents from that of ICRR cross originated varieties. The two monomorphic markers were RM1022 and RM4608.

Allele Number

The number of allele in each locus of polymorphic markers varied from 2 to 8 with the average allele number per locus of 3.1944 and total alleles of 115 alleles. This result was lower than that reported by Lapitan *et al.* (2007) across 24 varieties using 151 SSR markers which found 5.98 alleles per locus and Ni *et al.* (2002) that attained 6.78 alleles per locus across 38 varieties using 111 SSR markers. This result was lower than 13 alleles per locus across 330 rice accessions using 30 SSR markers reported by Thomson *et al.* (2007).

This result indicated that varieties used in this study were less variable. It was because the varieties used were mostly of modern varieties, which had incorporated genes from many background, and ecotype differentiation of landraces was no longer exist in improved varieties (Khush 1997). The number of varieties used also affected the result, that the higher the population size the more allele could be found.

Polymorphism Information Content

The polymorphism information content as the reflection of genetic variability varies from 0 for the monomorphic markers and from 0.0866 (RM6308) to 0.8287 (RM1369) for the polymorphic markers, with the average of 0.4451. It was classified as reasonably informative (0.5 > PIC>0.25) by Bostein *et al.* (1980).

Base on the average of each chromosome (Table 3), the highest PIC value was found in chromosome 7 (0.6224) followed by chromosome 6 (0.5799) and chromosome 10 (0.5478). Chromosome 5 (0.1780) has the lowest PIC value.

Cluster Analysis

Phylogenetic analysis using dendogram to figure out the genetic distance among genotypes with threshold level of 10% showed that the genotypes were divided into 9 groups. They were Inpago 6, Inpara 5, and BH33d each stood by itself while Inpara 1, 2, and 3; Inpari 18 and 19, Inpago 4, Inpago 5, and Inpara 4; Inpari 11, 12, 13,14,15, 16, 17, and 20; GMJ6B, B6, and IR79156B; PK21, BH95E, Bio9, and R14 belong to one group (Figure 1).

The hybrid parental lines tended to group together while within Inpara, Inpago, and Inpari tended to group in accordance with agroecosystem in similar grouping of the variety. It seemed that the grouping followed agro ecosystems and hybrid parental lines tended to stay in different group with inbred variety.

Table 3.	Average o	f PIC	value	of	each	of	the	chromosome
----------	-----------	-------	-------	----	------	----	-----	------------

Chr	No of marker	Average PIC
1	4	0.5065
2	2	0.4922
3	5	0.2443
4	2	0.2988
5	1	0.1780
6	6	0.5799
7	5	0.6224
8	3	0.5004
9	2	0.4166
10	1	0.5478
11	1	0.3613
12	4	0.4437



Figure 1. Dendogram of genetic distance among 36 rice varieties and hybrid parental lines using 36 SSR markers.

Based on this results, it indicated that application of 36 SSR markers was enough to distinguish the 26 genotypes. Nevertheless, application of more markers would surely give more powerful to distinguish among genotypes.

CONCLUSSIONS

PIC analysis showed that the genotypes mostly had medium level of genetic diversity with the average value of 0.4451. Phylogenetic analysis showed that at the genetic distance of 10%, the genotypes would be separated into 9 groups, i.e. Inpago 6, Inpara 5, and BH33d each stood alone while Inpara 1, 2, and 3; Inpari 18 and 19, Inpago 4, Inpago 5, and Inpara 4; Inpari 11, 12, 13,14,15, 16, 17, and 20; GMJ6B, B6, and IR79156B; PK21, BH95E, Bio9, and R14 became each one group. It seemed that the grouping followed the agro ecosystems and hybrid parental lines tended to stay in different group with inbred variety. Application of these 36 SSR markers had been able to distinguish the 26 genotypes, however more markers would give more powerful to distinguish among genotypes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Great gratitude is addressed to Indonesian Agency for Agricultural Researches and Development (IAARD) through 2012 National Budget of ICRR that was the source of funding to conduct this research. Great thanks also for Akhmad Hidayatullah, SP who had done with the SSR works.

REFERENCE

- Alvarez, A., J.L. Fuentes, V. Puldón, P.J. Gómez, L. Mora, M.C. Duque, G. Gallego, and J.M. Tohme. 2007. Genetic diversity analysis of Cuban traditional rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) varieties based on microsatellite markers. Genetics and Molecular Biology, 30, 4, 1109-1117.
- Anderson, J.A., G.A. Churchil, J.E. Autrique, S.D. Tnaksley, and M.E. Sorles. 1993. Optimizing parental selection for genetic linkage map. Genome 36:181-186.
- Behera1, L., B.C. Patra, R.K. Sahu, A. Nanda, S.C. Sahu, A. Patnaik, G.J.N. Rao, and O.N. Singh. 2012. Assessment of genetic diversity in medicinal rices using microsatellite markers. Australian Journal of Crop Science 6(9):1369-1376.
- Bioversity International. 2007. Descriptors for wild and cultivated rice (*Oryza* spp.). Bioversity International. Rome.
- Botstein, D., R.L. White, M. Skolnick, and R.W. Davis. 1980. Construction of a genetic linkage mapin man using restriction fragment length polymorphisms, American Journal of Human Genetics, 32: 314-331.
- Choudhury, P.S., S. Kohli, K. Srinivasan, T. Mohapatra, and R.P. Sharma. 2001. Identification and classification of aromatic rices based on DNA fingerprinting. Euphytica 118: 243-251.
- Chuang, H.Y., H.S. Lur, K.K. Hwu, and M.C. Chang. 2011. Authentication of domestic Taiwan rice varieties based on fingerprinting analysis of microsatellite DNA markers. Botanical Studies 52: 393-405.

- Khush, G.S. 1997. Origin, dispersal, cultivation and variation of rice. Plant Molecular Biology 35: 25-34.
- Lapitan, V.C., D.S. Brar, T. Abe, and E.D. Redona. 2007. Assessment of genetic diversity of Philippines rice cultivars carrying good quality traits using SSR markers. Breeding Science 57: 263-270.
- Liu, K. and S.V. Muse. 2005. Power marker:integrated analysis environment for genetic marker data. Bioinformatics 21(9):2128-2129.
- McCouch, S.R., L. Teytelman, Y. Xu, K.B. Lobos, K. Clare, M. Walton, B. Fu, R. Maghirang, Z.K. Li, Y. Xing, Q. Zhang, I. Kono, M. Yano, R.F. Jelistrom, G. DeClerk, D. Scheider, S. Cartinhout, D. Ware, and L. Stein. 2002. Developmant and mapping of 2240 new SSR markers for rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). DNA Research 9:199-207.
- Musaddad, A., H. Kasim, dan Sunihardi. 1993. Varietas unggul tanaman pangan (high yielding varieties of food crops) 1918-1993. Pusat Penelitian dan Pengembangan Tanaman Pangan, Bogor.
- Nei, M. 1973. Analysis of gene diversity in subdivided populations. Smith, J.S.C. 1984.Genetic variability within U.S. hybridmaize: MultiProc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) 70:3321-3323.
- Ni, J., P.M. Colowit, and D.J. Mackill. 2002. Evaluation of genetic diversity in rice sub species using microsatellite markers. Crop Sci. 42601-607.
- Panaud, O., X. Chen, and S.R. McCouch. 1995. Frequency of microsatellite sequences in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Genome 38:1170-1176.
- Powell, W., M. Morgante, C. Andre, M. Hanafey, J. Vogel, S. Tingey, and A. Rafalski. 1996. The comparison of RFLP, RAPD, AFLP, and SSR (microsatellite) markers for germplasm analysis. Mol. Breed. 2:225-238.

- Sunihardi, Yusanti, dan Sri K. 1999. Deskripsi varietas unggul padi dan palawija 1993-1998. Pusat Penelitian dan Pengembangan Tanaman Pangan, Bogor.
- Suprihatno, B., A.A. Daradjat, Satoto, Suwarno, E. Lubis, B.S. Efendi, Sudir, S.D. Indrasari, I.P. Wardana, dan M.J. Mejaya. 2011. Deskripsi varietas padi. Balai Besar Penelitian Tanaman Padi. 105p.
- Susanto, U., A.A. Daradjat, dan B. Suprihatno. 2003. Perkembangan pemuliaan padi sawah di Indonesia. Jurnal Litbang Pertanian 22(3):125-131.
- Temnykh, S., W.D. Park, and N. Ayres. 2000. Mapping and genome organization of microsatellite sequences in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), Theor. Appl. Genet. 100, 697-712.
- Thomson, M.J., E.M. Septiningsih, F. Suwardjo, T.J. Santoso, T.S. Silitonga, and S.R. McCouch. 2007. Genetic diversity analysis of traditional and improved Indonesian rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) germplasm using microsatellite markers. Theor Appl. Genet 114:559-568.
- Vaughan, D.A. and M.T. Jackson. 1995. The core as a guide to the whole collection. pp. 229-239. *In*: T. Hodgkin, A.H.D. Brown, T.J.L. van Hintum, E.A.V. Morales (*Eds.*) Core collections of plant genetic resources. John Wiley and Sons Inc, Chichester-New York-Brisbane-Toronto-Singapore.
- Yu, S.B., W.J. Xu, C.H.M. Vijayakumar, J. Ali, B.Y. Fu, J.L. Xu, Y.Z. Jiang, R. Marghirang, J. Domingo, C. Aquino, S.S. Virmani, and Z.K. Li. 2003. Molecular diversity and multilocus organization of the parental lines used in the International Rice Molecular Breeding Program. Theor. Appl. Genet. 108:131-140.
- Zhang, Z., Y. Deng, J. Tan, S. Hu, J. Yu, and Q. Xue. 2007. A genome wide microsatellite polymorphism database for the *indica* and *japonica* rice. DNA Research 14: 37-45.